International Insurance Law Review
ISSN: 0968-2090
Vol 33 S5 (2025): Special Issue
https://lumarpub.com/iilr

The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance

Charu Bhurat[1]; Harsh Thakrar[2]
[1]S P Jain School of Global Management, Mumbai, India
[2]University of Wollongong, GIFT City, India
Email: [1]charu.bhurat@spjain.org; [2]hthakrar@uow.edu.au
ORCID: [1]https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0027-033X; [2]https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2456-2473

Abstract
The rapid expansion of green finance has intensified the need for mechanisms that ensure transparency, traceability, and credibility in sustainable debt markets. Although green bonds are widely used to fund environmental projects, inconsistent taxonomies, variable reporting standards, and persistent risks of greenwashing continue to undermine investor trust. This paper examines how blockchain-enabled tokenization can enhance the governance architecture of green bond markets by providing immutable transaction records, automating compliance through smart contracts, and supporting more verifiable environmental impact reporting. Through a comparative analysis of Hong Kong's tokenized green bond initiative (Project Evergreen) and India's conventionally structured green bond market, the study evaluates how technological maturity, regulatory alignment, and institutional capacity shape environmental and financial outcomes. Using grounded theory and drawing on Information Asymmetry Theory, Principal–Agent Theory, Transaction Cost Economics, and Stakeholder Theory, the paper develops a conceptual framework explaining blockchain's governance-enhancing role in sustainable finance. The findings show that blockchain significantly strengthens transparency, reduces monitoring costs, and improves accountability, although its efficacy depends on supportive regulatory ecosystems. The paper concludes by outlining policy pathways for harmonizing taxonomies, advancing digital verification infrastructure, and scaling blockchain-enabled sustainable debt markets.

Keywords: Blockchain; Green Bonds; Transparency; Sustainable Finance; Tokenization
JEL Classification: G28, Q01, Q58

I. Introduction

The transition toward a low-carbon and climate-resilient global economy requires unprecedented financial commitments. Estimates by the International Energy Agency indicate that approximately USD 4 trillion in annual clean energy investment will be required by 2050 to achieve global net-zero goals, a level of financing that far exceeds present capital flows (International Energy Agency, 2021). For developing economies, the climate finance gap is particularly acute. India, for instance, faces an annual shortfall of approximately USD 170 billion through 2030 in meeting its climate and energy transition objectives (Warrier, 2024). The sheer magnitude of these financing needs has propelled the expansion of green finance instruments, with green bonds emerging as a critical mechanism for mobilizing sustainable investment worldwide.

Green bonds offer issuers a dedicated channel for financing environmentally beneficial projects across renewable energy, waste management, climate-resilient infrastructure, pollution reduction, and sustainable transportation. Their popularity has surged among institutional

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

investors seeking alignment with environmental, social, and governance (ESG) mandates (Kumar & Kundalia, 2023). However, despite their growth trajectory, significant governance challenges persist. Concerns about greenwashing where environmental benefits are overstated or inaccurately reported continued to erode confidence in the integrity of green bond markets. These concerns stem from inconsistent taxonomies defining what constitutes a "green" project, variability in reporting and verification practices, and limited real-time mechanisms to track fund allocation and project performance (Deschryver & De Mariz, 2020). These structural issues impose information asymmetries that undermine investor trust, limit market depth, and inhibit the scaling of sustainable finance.

Blockchain technology has increasingly been proposed as a potential solution to these governance challenges. The core attributes of blockchain are immutability, decentralization, programmability, and auditability which provide opportunities to enhance transparency, traceability, and accountability within green bond ecosystems. When combined with tokenization, smart contracts, and potential integrations with Internet of Things (IoT) devices, blockchain systems may help ensure that capital raised through green bonds is allocated and deployed in verifiable, environmentally credible ways. Early experiments globally, such as Hong Kong's Project Evergreen and initiatives by the Bank for International Settlements Innovation Hub, suggest that blockchain can reduce transaction costs, accelerate settlement, and provide tamper-proof impact reporting (Schletz et al., 2020; Chen & Volz, 2022).

While the conceptual potential of blockchain in sustainable finance is widely acknowledged, empirical analyses remain limited. Few studies evaluate real-world implementations of blockchain-enabled green bonds or compare markets with advanced technological infrastructure to those with conventional systems. The divergence in market maturity, regulatory frameworks, and institutional capacities between jurisdictions suggests that blockchain adoption may produce heterogeneous outcomes. This study contributes to filling this gap through a comparative examination of Hong Kong's blockchain-based green bond issuance and India's traditional green bond ecosystem.

Through this comparison, the study addresses three research questions. The first considers how blockchain technology can support transparent and verifiable impact measurement systems for green bonds. The second explores the sustainability implications of integrating blockchain within green finance mechanisms. The third investigates strategies for promoting standardized definitions of sustainable activities and improving environmental reporting frameworks across diverse market contexts. These questions are examined through a combination of case study analysis and grounded theory methods to derive a coherent conceptual understanding of blockchain's governance-enhancing potential in green finance.

The remainder of this paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 provides a detailed review of literature on green finance, transparency challenges, and fintech-enabled governance innovations. Section 3 outlines the methodology used for this research. Sections 4 and 5 present the case studies of Hong Kong and India respectively. Section 6 provides a comparative analysis and grounded theory findings. Section 7 discusses the sustainability implications of blockchain integration. Section 8 situates the findings within four economic and governance theories. Section 9 concludes with recommendations, contributions, limitations, and future research directions.

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

II. Literature Review

Academic interest in green finance has expanded rapidly in response to global climate imperatives, the rising salience of ESG-oriented investing, and widespread recognition of the need to align financial flows with sustainability goals. Green bonds in particular have drawn extensive scholarly attention as a means of mobilizing capital for environmentally beneficial projects (Maltais & Nykvist, 2020). Despite this momentum, challenges in transparency, accountability, and impact verification remain central obstacles. This literature review synthesizes key themes relevant to these challenges and situates blockchain technology within emerging debates about governance innovations in sustainable finance.

The evolution of green finance is deeply intertwined with global environmental governance efforts, particularly the commitments established under the Paris Agreement. This framework calls for aligning financial systems with low-carbon and climate-resilient development pathways. Scholars argue that green finance mechanisms, including green bonds, green loans, and sustainability-linked instruments, have become indispensable tools for channeling capital toward mitigation and adaptation initiatives (Sachs et al., 2019). Yet the effectiveness of green finance depends critically on the credibility, verifiability, and transparency of environmental claims made by issuers. Concerns that financial markets may reward superficial sustainability signaling rather than genuine environmental performance underscore the importance of robust governance mechanisms.

One recurrent theme in the literature involves the lack of standardization in green taxonomies. Existing studies demonstrate that different countries and institutions define "green" in divergent ways, leading to inconsistent project classifications and difficulties in comparing environmental performance across jurisdictions (Banga, 2019). The European Union's Sustainable Finance Taxonomy represents one of the most ambitious attempts to create a harmonized classification system, yet even this framework has faced political and technical debates over the inclusion of transitional or mixed-impact assets (Maris & Psychalis, 2024). Emerging markets, including India, have been slower to establish comprehensive taxonomies, resulting in varied issuer practices and potential opportunities for greenwashing.

Transparency and disclosure challenges form another critical strand of the literature. Although issuers of green bonds are generally expected to provide use-of-proceeds disclosures, impact reports, and periodic updates, the depth and quality of these disclosures remain inconsistent. Some issuers offer extensive environmental metrics and third-party verifications, while others provide limited information that offers little insight into actual environmental performance (Deschryver & De Mariz, 2020). Such inconsistencies exacerbate information asymmetries between issuers and investors, undermining confidence in green financial instruments. Studies also highlight that verification mechanisms, such as second-party opinions and external reviews, vary widely in methodological rigor, leading to inconsistent environmental assessments (Park, 2018).

The literature also draws attention to the role of development finance institutions (DFIs) and multilateral development banks in promoting sustainable finance, particularly in emerging and developing economies. DFIs help de-risk green investments and mobilize private capital, but they also face challenges related to project pipeline development, coordination with local financial institutions, and balancing developmental and financial objectives (Amin, 2014). Despite this, the empirical evidence suggests that DFIs remain indispensable in supporting green finance

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

expansion, especially where domestic financial systems lack technical expertise or deep capital markets (Ghosh et al., 2021).

While governance challenges hinder traditional green bond markets, emerging literature identifies fintech innovations as potential pathways to address these structural barriers. Blockchain technology, in particular, has been highlighted for its capacity to improve data integrity, automate verification, and streamline the green bond issuance and management process (Schletz et al., 2020). Blockchain's distributed ledger system ensures that transactions are recorded in an immutable and transparent manner, which reduces the risk of manipulation or misreporting. Smart contracts which automatically execute predefined conditions, can facilitate the automated allocation of proceeds, real-time reporting of environmental performance, and conditional disbursements linked to sustainability milestones (Chen & Volz, 2022).

Tokenization, which involves representing financial assets as digital tokens on a blockchain network, expands accessibility by allowing fractional ownership of green assets, thereby lowering the barrier to investor participation (Zhao, 2022). This has significant implications for democratizing sustainable finance, especially in markets where access to investment-grade green assets is limited. Furthermore, integration with Internet of Things (IoT) devices allows environmental metrics to be collected in real time. For instance, renewable energy installations financed through green bonds could be monitored continuously for energy production, carbon avoidance, and other relevant indicators, with this data recorded directly on blockchain networks (Wang et al., 2023). Such innovations can support dynamic environmental reporting that is far more robust than static, self-reported issuer disclosures.

Additionally, the literature underscores the potential for blockchain and AI to work synergistically. Machine learning models may analyze granular environmental data captured through blockchain records to predict long-term project impacts or detect anomalies that may indicate greenwashing (Onyeka et al., 2024). This combination of automation, real-time monitoring, and predictive analytics could significantly enhance the environmental integrity of sustainable finance markets.

However, research gaps remain. Many studies are conceptual or exploratory, with limited empirical analysis of actual blockchain-enabled green bond issuances. While theoretical frameworks highlight blockchain's transformative potential, few investigations systematically compare blockchain-enabled and traditional markets or examine the interactions between technological infrastructure, regulatory frameworks, and institutional capacity. Furthermore, scholars call for deeper integration of sustainability science, financial economics, and digital governance frameworks when assessing blockchain's role in sustainable finance (Chen, 2023). This study contributes to addressing these gaps by combining comparative case analysis with grounded theory to explain how blockchain may reshape governance structures in green bond markets.

III. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design that integrates a comparative case study approach with grounded theory analysis. The objective is to investigate how blockchain technology enhances transparency, traceability, and environmental accountability in green bond markets and to compare these effects across jurisdictions with different levels of technological maturity and regulatory sophistication.

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

The methodological approach begins with a systematic review of the literature on green finance, sustainable bond governance, blockchain applications in financial markets, and fintech-enabled transparency mechanisms. This provides a robust conceptual foundation and helps identify gaps addressed by the empirical analysis. The next stage involves the selection of two case studies: Hong Kong and India. These jurisdictions were chosen for their contrasting characteristics. Hong Kong represents a technologically advanced financial system with strong institutional capacity and has implemented the world's first government-issued tokenized green bond. India, by contrast, represents a rapidly expanding green bond market that relies on traditional issuance mechanisms and faces challenges in standardization, verification, and institutional capacity. This contrast provides fertile ground for insights into blockchain's capabilities under differing market conditions.

Data for the case studies were collected from official reports, regulatory publications, academic analyses, industry whitepapers, and authoritative secondary sources, including publications by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority, the Bank for International Settlements, the Reserve Bank of India, and peer-reviewed research. The grounded theory component follows the classic three-stage approach outlined by Strauss and Corbin, beginning with open coding to identify key concepts such as transparency, smart contract automation, digital reporting, and environmental verification. Focused coding then groups these concepts into thematic categories, including technological innovation, governance enhancement, cost efficiency, and stakeholder trust. Finally, theoretical coding integrates the thematic categories to generate an emergent theory of blockchain-enabled governance for sustainable finance.

This qualitative methodology allows for the development of an analytical model grounded in empirical observations while accommodating the complexity of sustainability governance across multiple institutional and technological contexts. The approach is well suited to capturing the multidimensional nature of blockchain's contributions to green bond markets and identifying the conditions under which such technologies provide meaningful enhancements to transparency and accountability.

IV. Case Study: Project Evergreen – Hong Kong

Hong Kong's Project Evergreen offers a significant empirical example of how blockchain technology can be applied to sovereign green bond issuance. In February 2023, Hong Kong became the first government globally to issue a tokenized green bond, building upon earlier experimentation under the Hong Kong Monetary Authority's Project Genesis initiative. Project Evergreen was designed as a pilot to demonstrate how distributed ledger technology could transform the end-to-end lifecycle of green bonds, from issuance to settlement and reporting.

A defining attribute of Project Evergreen was its emphasis on transparency. The tokenized bonds were issued on a permissioned blockchain platform that provided a single source of truth for all transaction records. This eliminated inconsistencies and reconciliation errors often found in traditional systems where multiple intermediaries maintain separate ledgers. The blockchain architecture supported real-time synchronization of data among issuers, investors, regulators, and custodians. Through role-based access controls, stakeholders were granted appropriate levels of transparency, ensuring confidentiality of sensitive information while preserving auditability and regulatory visibility.

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

Traceability was another significant enhancement. Every transaction in the bond's lifecycle includes primary issuance, secondary transfers, coupon payments, and maturity redemption was immutably recorded on the distributed ledger. Smart contracts facilitated the automation of key processes such as coupon disbursements and atomic settlement, reducing the possibility of errors and eliminating counterparty risk. The ability to trace ownership at any moment in time contributed to reducing informational asymmetries and improving investor trust.

Cost efficiency was also strengthened. By reducing reliance on traditional intermediaries such as paying agents and clearinghouses, Project Evergreen streamlined many administrative functions. Settlement times were reduced from T+5 to T+1, lowering both operational and liquidity risks. The automation of compliance tasks through smart contracts further reduced administrative burdens, contributing to lower overall transaction costs. These efficiency gains align with findings in the broader literature that highlight the potential for blockchain to reduce friction and costs in financial markets (Schletz et al., 2020).

Regulatory compliance was carefully integrated into the project's design. Although innovative in technology, the issuance process adhered to Hong Kong's Securities and Futures Ordinance and was fully interoperable with the Central Money Markets Unit, which ensured the statutory finality of settlements. Anti-money laundering and know-your-customer requirements were upheld through regulated intermediaries who managed investor onboarding. This model demonstrated that blockchain-based issuances need not disrupt legal continuity but can enhance existing regulatory frameworks.

Environmental impact verification, while still in early stages, benefited from the transparency provided by the blockchain infrastructure. Proceeds from the bond were allocated to predefined green projects consistent with Hong Kong's Green Bond Framework. The potential for future integration with IoT devices offers additional pathways for real-time environmental monitoring, which could significantly improve the credibility of sustainability reporting.

Investment tracking also improved through the platform's tokenized account model. Investors could access real-time information on their holdings, transaction histories, and corporate actions. For investors unfamiliar or uncomfortable with self-custody solutions, custodial options were available, ensuring market inclusivity while preserving technological innovation. The integration of custodial and self-custodial models reflects a flexible system designed to accommodate different levels of investor sophistication.

Project Evergreen thus represents a comprehensive demonstration of how distributed ledger technology can improve transparency, traceability, cost efficiency, regulatory compliance, and environmental accountability in green bond markets. It provides a valuable reference point for other jurisdictions exploring similar technological transformations.

Project Evergreen therefore serves not only as a technological demonstration but also as a governance innovation, illustrating the conditions under which blockchain infrastructure can meaningfully enhance the functioning of green bond markets. Its success stems from strong regulatory alignment, high institutional capacity, and a mature digital financial ecosystem. These characteristics position Hong Kong as a model for exploring how blockchain may reshape sustainable debt markets on a global scale.

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

V. Case Study: India's Green Bond Market – A Conventional System

India represents one of the fastest-growing green bond markets in the developing world, driven by expanding renewable energy commitments, climate vulnerability, and evolving policy support for sustainable finance. However, unlike Hong Kong's blockchain-enabled issuance, India's green bonds are structured within conventional capital market frameworks. This provides a valuable contrast, demonstrating the opportunities and limitations of traditional green bond governance.

India's green bond market formally emerged in 2015, when early issuances were supported by tax incentives intended to encourage sustainable debt financing. In 2017, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) released comprehensive Green Bond Guidelines that aligned with international principles such as the Green Bond Principles. These guidelines addressed use-of-proceeds disclosure, project selection criteria, and reporting requirements. In 2023, the Government of India issued its first Sovereign Green Bonds, further institutionalizing the market and signaling the state's commitment to sustainable finance. Additionally, the introduction of a national framework for sovereign green bonds attempted to strengthen market credibility and support investor engagement.

Despite these developments, India faces challenges in establishing a fully transparent and consistent green bond ecosystem. Transparency mechanisms remain uneven. While issuers are obligated to disclose use-of-proceeds information, the depth and quality of disclosure vary widely. Many issuers lack the technical expertise and human resources to implement comprehensive reporting systems. Consequently, investors often face uncertainty regarding how proceeds are allocated and whether promised environmental benefits materialize. This gap between regulatory intent and market practice reflects the broader capacity constraints present in emerging markets.

Traceability poses an even greater challenge. India does not currently employ technological systems capable of providing real-time or immutable tracking of fund flows from issuance to project deployment. As a result, verifying the precise allocation of green bond proceeds remains difficult. Investors must rely heavily on issuer-reported information, which is often delivered through annual or semiannual reports that vary in transparency. Unlike markets such as Sweden, which integrate regional monitoring systems, India lacks an infrastructure capable of linking environmental outcomes to specific financing flows. This fragmentation can lead to informational inconsistencies and undermine investor confidence.

Cost efficiency within India's green bond market is similarly mixed. Green bonds theoretically offer cost advantages, such as lower coupon rates due to investor demand for sustainable assets. However, India's experience has been inconsistent. During the issuance of the sovereign green bonds, the Reserve Bank of India noted an absence of the expected "greenium," as investors were reluctant to pay a premium for environmentally labeled securities. This reluctance reflects uncertainty over impact verification and issuer credibility. Further complicating matters, subnational entities such as municipal corporations often lack the financial structuring capacity necessary to design green bond products with attractive returns. These constraints hinder the scalability of green bonds as a financing tool for local climate projects.

Regulatory compliance remains an area of ongoing development. While SEBI has established guidelines that align with global best practices, implementation and enforcement often vary across sectors. Sovereign green bonds, being relatively new, lack standardized compliance structures that ensure consistent reporting and environmental monitoring. Jurisdictions with more mature sustainable finance ecosystems benefit from well-developed enforcement

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

mechanisms, whereas India's systems remain fragmented. In practice, this results in uneven sustainability reporting and limited accountability for environmental outcomes.

Environmental impact verification is another area where India faces capacity gaps. Although issuers are encouraged to provide evidence of environmental benefits and may engage third-party verifiers, the availability and quality of such verification vary. Many issuers lack standardized methodologies or adequate technical resources to conduct rigorous environmental assessments. Consequently, environmental claims may be inconsistent or incomplete, raising concerns about greenwashing. Differences between corporate issuers with sophisticated sustainability departments and government agencies with limited technical expertise further exacerbate variability in verification quality.

Investment tracking also reflects the broader limitations of India's market infrastructure. While reporting requirements exist, tracking mechanisms are not integrated in real time and vary significantly across projects. Municipal bodies, in particular, face acute challenges due to limited human and financial resources, resulting in superficial or delayed reporting. For investors seeking assurance that their capital is generating measurable environmental benefits, these inconsistencies pose a significant deterrent. As a consequence, international investor participation in India's green bond market remains constrained.

India's green bond framework thus represents a system characterized by strong policy intent but insufficient technical and institutional capacity. While regulatory structures exist, their implementation is uneven. Verification practices lack standardization, and technological systems capable of delivering real-time transparency have not yet been integrated. This contrast with Hong Kong's Project Evergreen highlights the critical role of technology, infrastructure, and regulatory alignment in shaping the credibility and effectiveness of green bond markets.

VI. Analysis and Results

The comparative analysis of Hong Kong's tokenized green bond and India's traditional system provides insights into how technological infrastructure and institutional capacity influence sustainable finance governance. A thematic analysis across the six indicators are transparency, traceability, cost efficiency, regulatory compliance, environmental impact verification, and investment tracking which reveals significant divergences between the two jurisdictions.

The first major finding concerns transparency. In Hong Kong, transparency was structurally embedded into the design of Project Evergreen through the use of a permissioned blockchain ledger. This ledger functioned as an immutable and synchronized data source accessible to authorized participants. Transactions were processed in real time, and reporting was automated, significantly reducing the risk of inconsistent disclosures or delayed information. In India, however, transparency remained dependent on manual processes. Disclosure practices varied widely, and issuers often faced constraints in providing timely or comprehensive updates. These inconsistencies created informational uncertainties that could undermine market confidence. The comparison suggests that blockchain-enabled architectures can materially reduce information asymmetry by ensuring uniform, immutable, and accessible data structures.

Traceability yielded a similarly stark contrast. Hong Kong's system provided complete transaction traceability from issuance to redemption, supported by smart contracts and atomic settlement mechanisms. These features ensured that all fund movements and ownership transfers were securely recorded. In India, the absence of digital traceability mechanisms meant that investors

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

were dependent on periodic issuer reports, which were neither real-time nor immutable. Such dependency heightened risks of misreporting, errors, or insufficient verification. The case studies demonstrate that traceability is a critical governance feature in green bond markets and that blockchain technology provides a uniquely effective means of achieving it.

Cost efficiency was markedly improved in Hong Kong due to the automation of processes such as settlement, coupon distribution, and compliance reporting. The reduction of intermediaries lowered operational costs and reduced settlement times. In contrast, India's traditional green bond processes involved multiple intermediaries and relied heavily on manual procedures, raising both costs and administrative burdens. These findings align with the broader literature on blockchain's potential to reduce transaction costs in financial markets (Catalini & Gans, 2016). The performance of Project Evergreen confirms that blockchain can deliver tangible cost efficiencies when supported by appropriate regulatory and infrastructural conditions.

Regulatory compliance emerged as more effective in Hong Kong due to deliberate integration of the blockchain system within existing legal frameworks. The ability to maintain interoperability with the Central Money Markets Unit ensured that digital innovation did not compromise legal certainty. India, while possessing strong regulatory guidelines, experienced challenges in implementation and enforcement. Compliance varied across issuers, sectors, and government bodies, resulting in uneven reliability of sustainability reporting. These divergences suggest that technology alone is insufficient; regulatory alignment and institutional capacity significantly shape the governance outcomes of blockchain adoption.

Environmental impact verification constituted one of the most critical areas of divergence. Hong Kong's system, while not yet fully integrated with IoT-based monitoring, provided a foundation for real-time and tamper-proof verification of environmental metrics. India's verification ecosystem remained fragmented, with inconsistent methodologies and limited access to qualified third-party auditors. The prevalence of manual reporting created risks of inaccuracy and inconsistencies. These differences indicate that blockchain-based reporting systems may significantly enhance the credibility and comparability of environmental disclosures.

Investment tracking benefited substantially from tokenization in Hong Kong, which provided investors with real-time visibility of their holdings and associated corporate actions. By contrast, India's tracking mechanisms relied on periodic updates and varied in quality across issuers. The comparative findings reveal that blockchain can reduce uncertainty and increase investor engagement by offering transparent, real-time investment information.

The overall analysis suggests that blockchain-enabled systems, when embedded within coherent regulatory and institutional frameworks, offer significant governance enhancements across all six indicators. Meanwhile, conventional markets without technological integration face persistent challenges in achieving similar outcomes. These findings underscore the transformative potential of blockchain technology for sustainable finance and highlight the structural conditions necessary to realize it.

VII. Discussion

The comparative findings from Hong Kong and India underscore the extent to which blockchain technology can reshape the governance architecture of green bond markets, particularly in relation to transparency, traceability, and environmental accountability. These findings align with emerging academic debates on the need for more rigorous and technologically advanced

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

sustainability reporting frameworks, particularly given the rising global scrutiny of greenwashing practices. Blockchain's distributed ledger structure, when combined with smart contracts and tokenization, offers distinctive advantages in creating trustworthy and verifiable data flows. It enables a form of digital governance that reduces ambiguity, enhances monitoring, and supports a more systematic alignment between financial resources and environmental outcomes.

The sustainability implications of blockchain integration extend beyond enhanced transparency. By offering a reliable digital infrastructure for capturing environmental performance data, blockchain enables more effective capital allocation toward high-impact projects. Investors, increasingly driven by ESG mandates, benefit from the ability to access verifiable, real-time information about the environmental footprint of financed activities. This, in turn, can reduce perceived risk, expand investor participation, and contribute to a more efficient pricing of sustainability-linked assets. Research in sustainable finance suggests that better-quality environmental data improves capital allocation efficiency and enhances long-term market stability (Flammer, 2021). Blockchain-supported reporting thus holds potential to deepen markets for sustainable finance, particularly in regions with weak institutional capacity.

Another dimension concerns the role of blockchain in facilitating monitoring, reporting, and verification (MRV) of environmental impacts. Integrating blockchain with IoT technologies would enable automated data capture from green infrastructure projects. For example, solar installations financed through green bonds could continuously record energy production metrics, emissions reductions, and system efficiency, with data uploaded directly to a blockchain network. Such real-time and tamper-proof MRV would significantly improve the integrity of environmental reporting. It could also support adaptive policy interventions, enabling governments and regulators to respond dynamically to project performance.

Moreover, blockchain's programmability enables smart contracts to condition the release of funds on verified sustainability outcomes. This linking of financial flows to environmental metrics represents a paradigm shift, transforming green bonds from ex-post reporting instruments into dynamic financing mechanisms with built-in accountability. Such mechanisms align with broader movements in sustainable finance toward performance-based instruments, such as sustainability-linked loans and outcome-based climate finance (Berg et al., 2022). When applied thoughtfully, blockchain-enabled smart contracts can operationalize these principles with greater precision and trust.

Nevertheless, the potential of blockchain must be contextualized within practical constraints. Its effectiveness is strongly dependent on the presence of robust regulatory frameworks, digital infrastructure, and institutional capacity. The contrast between Hong Kong and India demonstrates that markets with advanced technological ecosystems and strong regulatory oversight are better positioned to harness the benefits of blockchain. In emerging markets with resource limitations, technological adoption must be supported by capacity-building initiatives, policy guidance, and international cooperation. Without these complementary conditions, blockchain implementation may fail to deliver meaningful improvements or may exacerbate existing institutional constraints.

Blockchain also raises broader questions regarding interoperability, scalability, and energy consumption. Although permissioned blockchain networks such as those used in Project Evergreen consume significantly less energy than public blockchain systems using proof-of-work, concerns remain regarding long-term sustainability of digital infrastructures. Additionally, global

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

interoperability is necessary to facilitate cross-border sustainable finance flows. Achieving this requires harmonization of technical standards, regulatory frameworks, and sustainability taxonomies. The emergence of initiatives such as the International Platform on Sustainable Finance reflects growing momentum toward cross-border standardization, but significant gaps persist.

In summary, while blockchain is not a panacea, its integration into green bond markets offers substantial governance benefits when deployed under appropriate institutional and regulatory conditions. It represents a promising tool for improving environmental integrity, investor trust, and overall market efficiency within sustainable finance ecosystems.

VIII. Theoretical Framework

A comprehensive analysis of blockchain's role in green finance requires engagement with established economic and governance theories that illuminate how technology restructures stakeholder relationships, reduces inefficiencies, and enhances accountability. Four theoretical perspectives are Information Asymmetry Theory, Principal-Agent Theory, Transaction Cost Economics, and Stakeholder Theory which provide a multi-dimensional lens for interpreting the findings.

Information Asymmetry Theory, originating from the work of Akerlof (1970), highlights how discrepancies in information between market participants lead to adverse selection and inefficiencies. In green bond markets, issuers typically possess more information about environmental impacts than investors. This imbalance creates opportunities for greenwashing, eroding market confidence and limiting investment. Blockchain addresses these concerns by providing immutable, transparent, and accessible records of financial and environmental data. The real-time visibility afforded by distributed ledgers reduces informational disparities, allowing investors and regulators to evaluate environmental performance with greater accuracy. Hong Kong's Project Evergreen illustrates how such transparency reduces the risk of misreporting and enhances market efficiency.

Principal-Agent Theory, as developed by Jensen and Meckling (1976), emphasizes conflicts that arise when agents (issuers) act on behalf of principals (investors) but may not fully align with their interests. In green finance, issuers may have incentives to exaggerate environmental benefits or reallocate proceeds to non-green activities. Blockchain-enabled smart contracts mitigate these risks by automating compliance and linking financial disbursements to predefined environmental milestones. The automation of coupon payments and proceeds allocation in Project Evergreen exemplifies how blockchain can strengthen incentive alignment and reduce monitoring costs. In contrast, India's manual reporting systems reveal persistent agency concerns due to inconsistent implementation and limited verification capacity.

Transaction Cost Economics, articulated by Coase (1937) and Williamson (1981), provides an additional theoretical grounding. Traditional green bond markets involve multiple intermediaries, which increase transaction costs through verification, monitoring, and enforcement activities. Blockchain technology reduces these costs by automating processes, minimizing the need for intermediaries, and eliminating redundant reconciliation tasks. Hong Kong's reduction of settlement time from T+5 to T+1 exemplifies how blockchain enhances operational efficiency. The findings align with broader research suggesting that blockchain can reduce frictions and administrative burdens in financial markets (Catalini & Gans, 2016).

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

Stakeholder Theory, introduced by Freeman (1984), expands the analysis beyond investor-issuer relationships to consider the broader ecosystem of participants, including regulators, civil society, communities affected by climate change, and technology providers. Green bonds have implications that extend well beyond financial returns, influencing environmental outcomes and societal welfare. Blockchain enhances accountability to this wider set of stakeholders by ensuring that environmental claims are backed by verifiable data. Moreover, by lowering barriers to participation through tokenization, blockchain democratizes access to sustainable finance. The theory underscores that sustainable finance instruments must create value for multiple stakeholders, and blockchain provides mechanisms for ensuring that value creation is transparent, equitable, and credible.

Integrating these theoretical perspectives reveals a comprehensive model of blockchain-enabled governance in green bond markets. Blockchain reduces information asymmetry, mitigates agency problems, lowers transaction costs, and enhances stakeholder alignment, thereby contributing to more trustworthy, efficient, and impactful sustainable finance systems. These theories reinforce the empirical findings and provide a conceptual basis for understanding the transformative potential of blockchain technologies.

IX. Conclusion

This study examined how blockchain-enabled tokenization can strengthen governance, transparency, accountability, and environmental performance in green bond markets. By comparing Hong Kong's technologically advanced Project Evergreen with India's conventional green bond ecosystem, the research demonstrated that blockchain significantly enhances transparency and traceability, reduces transaction costs, improves regulatory compliance, and strengthens environmental impact verification. These improvements stem from blockchain's core features, including immutability, programmability, and real-time reporting capabilities.

The findings reveal that blockchain's effectiveness depends on the broader institutional and regulatory context. Markets with advanced digital infrastructures, strong governance frameworks, and high institutional capacities such as Hong Kong are better positioned to leverage blockchain for sustainable finance innovation. By contrast, emerging markets like India require capacity-building initiatives, standardized methodologies, and supportive regulatory frameworks to realize blockchain's full potential. Despite these challenges, the contrast between the two jurisdictions highlights the transformative possibilities of integrating digital technologies into sustainable finance ecosystems.

This research contributes to the scholarly literature by offering a theoretically grounded and empirically supported analysis of blockchain's role in green finance. By integrating Information Asymmetry Theory, Principal-Agent Theory, Transaction Cost Economics, and Stakeholder Theory, the study presents a multi-dimensional framework for understanding how digital infrastructures reshape market governance. It also identifies key conditions and strategies for enhancing environmental integrity and scaling sustainable finance.

Several avenues for future research emerge from this study. Longitudinal empirical analyses are needed to assess the long-term environmental and financial performance of blockchain-enabled green bonds. Further research should also explore the interoperability of blockchain systems across borders and their integration with emerging digital identity, IoT, and AI technologies. Comparative studies involving additional jurisdictions would provide deeper insights into how

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

contextual factors influence the adoption and effectiveness of blockchain in sustainable finance. Finally, research into the energy efficiency of blockchain architectures is essential to ensure that digital solutions align with broader climate objectives.

While blockchain is not a cure-all for the challenges facing green finance, its integration offers a promising path toward more credible, efficient, and transparent sustainable debt markets. With appropriate regulatory support and institutional capacity, blockchain-enabled green bonds can contribute significantly to global climate finance goals and the broader transition toward sustainable development.

References

Akerlof, G. A. (1970). The market for "lemons": Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 84(3), 488-500.

Amin, A. (2014). Designing smart green finance incentive schemes: The role of the public sector and development banks. E3G. https://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep17714

Banga, J. (2019). The green bond market: A potential source of climate finance for developing countries. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 9(1), 17-32.

Berg, F., Kölbel, J., & Rigobon, R. (2022). Aggregate confusion: The divergence of ESG ratings. Review of Finance, 26(6), 1315-1344.

Catalini, C., & Gans, J. (2016). Some simple economics of the blockchain. MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 5191-16.

Center for Global Development, & Lehmann, A. (2020). Private sector climate finance after the crisis. Green Finance Instruments in EMDEs. https://www.jstor.org/stable/resrep29761

Chen, X. (2023). Research on the application of blockchain technology based on the credit mechanism for the issuance of green bonds. Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research, 475-479.

Chen, Y., & Volz, U. (2022). Scaling up sustainable investment through blockchain-based project bonds. Development Policy Review, 40(2), e12582.

Coase, R. (1937). The nature of the firm. Economica, 4(16), 386-405.

Deschryver, P., & De Mariz, F. (2020). What future for the green bond market? How can policymakers, companies, and investors unlock its potential? Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 13(3), 61.

Flammer, C. (2021). Corporate green bonds. Journal of Financial Economics, 142(2), 499-516.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Pitman.

Ghosh, S., Nath, S., & Reserve Bank of India. (2021). Green finance in India: Progress and challenges. RBI Bulletin.

International Energy Agency. (2021). Net zero by 2050: A roadmap for the global energy sector. https://www.iea.org/reports/net-zero-by-2050

Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3(4), 305-360.

Kumar, S., & Kundalia, C. (2023). Green bonds: Role and scope in India's financial and fiscal landscape. Journal of Indian Institute of Banking & Finance, 94-110.

Maltais, A., & Nykvist, B. (2020). Understanding the role of green bonds in advancing sustainability: A review. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 10(4), 233-252.

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.

Maris, G., & Psychalis, M. (2024). Energy and environmental challenges in the European Union and green bonds. Social Sciences, 13(1), 50.

Nassiry, D. (2018). The role of fintech in unlocking green finance: Policy insights for developing countries. ADBI Working Paper 883.

Onyeka, C. O., Addy, W. A., Adeoye, O. B., Oyewole, T. A., Okoye, C. C., Odeyemi, O., & Ololade, Y. J. (2024). Data-driven sustainability: How fintech innovations are supporting green finance. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(3), 760-773.

Park, S. (2018). Investors as regulators: Green bonds and the governance challenges of the sustainable finance revolution. Stanford Journal of International Law, 54(1), 1-50.

Schletz, M., Nassiry, D., & Lee, M. K. (2020). Blockchain and tokenized securities: The potential for green finance. ADBI Working Paper 1079.

Wang, D., Zhao, D., & Chen, F. (2023). Financing strategy of green energy-efficient supply chains based on blockchain technology. Energies, 16(7), 2985.

Warrier, S. G. (2024). Big gaps in green financing could hamper India's energy transition. Mongabay India.

Williamson, O. E. (1981). The economics of organization: The transaction cost approach. American Journal of Sociology, 87(3), 548-577.

Zhao, D. (2022). How tokenization can expand access to sustainable investment. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 12(4), 899-915.

Citation: Bhurat, C. & Thakrar, H. (2025). The Green Ledger: How Blockchain Can Clean Up Sustainable Finance. *International Insurance Law Review*, 33 (S5), 928-941.